

January 4, 1965  
Creative reflex

ONE MEASURE OF A PERSON'S MENTAL GROWTH IS THE ABILITY, USED WITHOUT CONSCIOUS MOTIVATION, TO PERCEIVE THE THINGS AROUND WHICH ARE COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT TO ONE'S DIRECTION OF INTEREST OF THE MOMENT. THIS IS DONE IN ONE FLASHING AND ALMOST TIMELESS DOUBLE GESTALT.

ONE ASPECT OF THIS IS A CONCERN ABOUT WHAT IT IS, HOW IT DOES WHAT IT DOES AND WHY IT IS THE WAY IT IS.

ANOTHER ASPECT OF THIS IS A SIMULTANEOUS ENDEAVOR TO PERCEIVE A WAY IN WHICH IT COULD BE CHANGED, SHOULD THAT EVER BE WANTED.

THIS SHOULD ALL TAKE PLACE AS AN AUTOMATIC REFLEX ACHIEVED WITHOUT EFFORT OF WILL.

July 4, 1965

Different definition of a religious person.

THE RELATIVITIES OF A DEFINITION MUST BE UNDERSTOOD TO COMMUNICATE A CONCEPT FOR IT TO HAVE MEANING.

TWO WAYS OF LOOKING AT A PERSON AND THE WORLD ARE PERTINENT TO THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION. THE FIRST IS CALLED THE ARISTOTELIAN VIEWPOINT. THE SECOND IS OF COURSE NON-ARISTOTELIAN. TO AN ARISTOTELIAN A PERSON OR THING MUST EITHER BE THIS OR THAT. TO A NON-ARISTOTELIAN A PERSON OR THING IS OFTEN BOTH THIS AND THAT, DEPENDING UPON THE RELATIVITIES PRESENT.

A UNIQUE GIFT TO PEOPLE BY THEIR CREATOR IS THE THING THAT DIFFERENTIATES HUMANS AMONG THE MULTIFOLD LIFE FORMS. THE GIFT OF THE ABILITY TO CHOOSE FOR SUBJECTIVE REASONS IS THAT GIFT. THERE IS, HOWEVER, A CONCOMITANT CHALLENGE TO USE THAT GIFT.

TO MAKE A TRUE PERSONAL CHOICE USING THIS PRICELESS GIFT OF SELF DETERMINATION IS TO EVIDENCE BEING A RELIGIOUS PERSON. THIS CHOICE CAN NOT BE AN ECHO OR REFLECTION OF A CHOICE MADE BY SOMEONE ELSE. IT CAN NOT BE A SIMPLE ECHO TEMPLATE FROM YOUR BODY OR ANYTHING OTHER THAN A TRUE CONSCIOUS SELF DECISION. THIS MAY BE THE ONLY ABSOLUTE POWER.

October 1, 1965  
Premise genesis

9

A SUBJECTIVE ASSEMBLY OF THOUGHT, NOT THINKING, IS CALLED A PREMISE. A NEW PREMISE IS NEVER GENERATED OR FORMED BY THINKING OR LOGIC. ALL LOGIC CAN DO IS DEVELOP GREATER AND GREATER EXTENSIONS OF A BASE PREMISE.

THERE IS A RELATIVITY TO THE WORD "NEW". NEW TO YOU CAN BE OLD TO TIME.

A SUBJECTIVE THOUGHT ASSEMBLY CAN ONLY BE PERCEIVED BY MEANS OF A SECONDARY OR FURTHER REMOVED ABSTRACT OF THE THOUGHT WHICH BECOMES OBJECTIVE ENOUGH TO BE PERCEIVED.

IF NEW TO YOU BUT OLD TO TIME PREMISES ARE TO BE PERCEIVED THEN A BRIDGE TO TIME MUST BE REQUIRED. IS THIS WHAT IS CALLED INTUITION OR INSPIRATION?

NEW FACTS SEEN FROM A NEW PERSPECTIVE ARE PRECURSORS OF A NEW PREMISE. IT IS CONCEIVABLE THAT OVER EACH SET OR ASSEMBLY OF FACTS HOVERS A SUBJECTIVE PREMISE, IF ONLY ONE COULD PERCEIVE THEM, VIA INDIRECT MIND FOCUS.

October 10, 1965  
Web of reality

LIFE SPINS A FRAGILE WEB OF REALITY  
FROM PAST TO FUTURE

EPHEMERAL REFLECTIONS OF PERFECTION  
EXIST WITHIN THE FLEETING MOMENT OF  
NOW

WITH THE DIMENSIONLESS NOW, AS IT  
SWEEPS OVER INFINITELY INTERSECTING  
TIME LINES, THE EFFULGENT NIMBUS OF  
BEING IS

RESIDENT, YET EMERGENT, WITH ITS  
PASSAGE MARKED BY MANY FILAMENTS WHICH  
PERSIST WHERE TIME AND SPACE ARE NOT.

YET, WITH THE FLOW OF PROBABILITIES,  
THEY RECEDE.

7

August 16, 1965  
Soliloquy

LOGIC IS NOT ALWAYS THE HANDMAIDEN OF TRUTH.

LOGIC OWES ITS PRIMARY LOYALTY TO SOMETHING OTHER THAN TRUTH.

LOGIC CONCERNS ONLY THE APPLICATION OF A PREMISE AND THE VALIDITY OF THE PREMISE.

IF YOU USE LOGIC TO DEVELOP A PREMISE THEN YOU HAVE USED A PREMISE TO DEVELOP A PREMISE. THIS IS CIRCULAR THINKING.

WHERE LIES THE EGG OF TRUTH?

October 19, 1965  
A Lee-zenism

TO THINK IS TO PREVENT THOUGHT.

TO ACHIEVE THOUGHT IS TO PLACE  
YOURSELF IN A POSITION FOR THOUGHT  
TO COALESCE.

September 7, 1965  
Intellectual integrity

ONLY IN THE QUIETUDE OF THE INNER SELF MAY ONE OF LIFE'S GREATEST GOALS BE REALIZED. THAT GOAL IS INTELLECTUAL INTEGRITY.

HOW OFTEN HAS SOMEONE OR SOMETHING OPENED A DOOR TO PERCEPTION IN SOME AREA WE THINK OF AS OUR OWN SPECIALTY AND WE THEN CONFUSE HINDSIGHT WITH FORESIGHT? THROUGH THIS DOOR WE SEE FACTS WHICH TO US ARE INSTANTLY SELF EVIDENT. THIS IS HINDSIGHT FROM THE MOMENT OF VIEWING.

THEN, IN OUR PRIVATE WORLD, WITH NO ONE KNOWING, DO WE FACE A MOMENT OF TRUTH WITH EITHER INTELLECTUAL INTEGRITY OR SELF DELUSION.

WE THEN PICK UP THESE FACTS AND USE THEM AS THE TOOLS THEY ARE. IN THE LONG RUN WHO WE FOOL EXTERNALLY IS OF LITTLE IMPORTANCE WHEN COMPARED TO FOOLING OURSELF IN OUR PRIVATE WORLD OF INNER BEING.

WHO OF US ARE AS HONEST AS WE COULD BE, RELATIVE TO THE IMAGE WE HAVE OF OURSELF AND OUR TRUE WORLD IMAGE AS REFLECTED BY PERFORMANCE AND THE FACETS OF OUR BEING WE PRESENT TO OTHERS? WHICH IMAGE REFLECTS OUR ACTUALITY?

WE CAN ONLY STRIVE TO BRING INTO THE MOST DECIMALS OF SIMILARITY BETWEEN THESE IMAGES AS INTELLECTUAL INTEGRITY CAN PROVIDE. THUS WE MAY APPROACH THE TRUE STATURE OF A WHOLE PERSON TO THE DEGREE OUR POTENTIALITY ALLOWS.

KNOW THE TRUTH WITHIN AND THE TRUTH WILL, AS THE BIBLE SAYS, SET YOU FREE!